

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,163	(02/24/2004	David Tacha	247232US23	247232US23 2363	
22850	7590	11/21/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN N	ICCLEL	LAND	GRUN, JAMES LESLIE			
OBLON, SP	IVAK, M	CCLELLAND, MAI	ER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			
1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				1641		

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

◀				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/784,163	TACHA, DAVID	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James L. Grun	1641	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IN LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the process of the provision of the mailing design of the provision of the provisio	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e. cause the application to become	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
. 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	August 2006.		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowa			;
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>81-102</u> is/are pending in the applicated (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>81-102</u> are subject to restriction and the company is a subject to restriction and the claim(s) <u>81-102</u> are subject to restriction and the claim(s) <u>81-102</u> are subject to restriction and the claim(s) <u>81-102</u> are subject to restriction.	awn from consideration.	·	
·	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er		
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.	
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			i).
11) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been ut (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
			•	
Attachment		🗖	O	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/784,163

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant's election with traverse of Group VI, claims 81-92, in the paper filed 23

August 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that adequate reasons have not been provided to support patentable distinctness between the groups or a serious burden on the examiner. These are not found persuasive for the reasons of record because the explanations of different designs, modes of operation, functions, effects, scope, classifications, and fields of search made in the restriction requirement of record are sufficient to provide a *prima facie* showing of a serious burden upon the examiner.

This application contains new claims 93-102, similar to prior claims 71-80, directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 26 different, patentably distinct, pairs of specific antigens for detection that differ in structure and use. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, the original claims 81-92 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/784,163

Art Unit: 1641

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Grun, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0821. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, SPE, can be contacted at (571) 272-0823.

The phone number for official facsimile transmitted communications to TC 1600, Group 1640, is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application, or requests to supply missing elements from Office communications, should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

122

James L. Grun, Ph.D. November 8, 2006

ONG V. LE "/11/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600